



Woodall-Vogg, Claire

To [eyeforjustice](#)

Jan 13 at 4:51 PM

1 attachment

Dear Ms Griffin,

I provided you with a copy of our initial review in a spreadsheet, as well as a copy of the reviewed nomination signatures with disqualification codes on Tuesday, January 11<sup>th</sup>, at approximately 3pm. We immediately contacted you on Tuesday when we had finished our initial review of your signatures, prior to the deadline to submit additional signatures. We did



Delete



Move to



Forward



Reply



More







on Tuesday, January 11, at approximately 3pm. We immediately contacted you on Tuesday when we had finished our initial review of your signatures, prior to the deadline to submit additional signatures. We did this as a courtesy, as I had not yet conducted my executive review.

4 addresses were restored when I conducted my executive review, but an additional address was disqualified:

Pg. 1 – We accepted your correction of 1400 W Fond Du Lac as the accurate address for the elector on line 7

Pag 80 – Lines 1 and 2; I was able to determine that the electors reside at




Delete



Move to



Forward



Reply



More







of 1400 W Fond Du Lac as the accurate address for the elector on line 7

Pag 80 – Lines 1 and 2; I was able to determine that the electors reside at 3856 N 39<sup>th</sup>, despite their near illegible handwriting

Pg. 99 – Lines 2 and 5 I was able to determine the elector's handwriting and confirm their addresses

Pg. 122 – Line 3 was subsequently disqualified because the elector did not print his name

Attached is an updated spreadsheet to reflect the changes to your signature



Delete



Move to



Forward



Reply



More







# RE: Nomination Papers



Woodall-Vogg, Claire

To [eyeforjustice](#) & 2 more

Jan 12 at 2:00 PM

Hi Ms. Griffin,

We will review what you turned in today and provide you with an update should we amend our number of certified signatures. Your affidavit may have clarified handwriting that we deemed unreadable or other such errors.



Delete



Move to



Forward



Reply



More







# RE: Nomination Papers



Woodall-Vogg, Claire

To [eyeforjustice](#) & 2 more

Jan 12 at 1:15 PM

Dear Ms. Griffin,

The Board of Election Commissioners will review any challenge or appeal of ballot status that you may wish to file at their board meeting on Monday, January 17<sup>th</sup>, at 5:00pm. Any challenges or appeals must be filed by Friday, January 14, at 5pm.



Delete



Move to



Forward



Reply



More







We are required to confirm that each address falls within the City of Milwaukee bounds. In order to confirm this, it must be a valid address. We have 12 staff members reviewing nomination papers. We finished reviewing yours within the same hour that we finished two other candidates and had 3 staff members working on them. Some papers take longer than others, as some handwriting is easier to discern, there might be multiple electors from the same household, etc. We also use multiple sources, such as Map Milwaukee, My Milwaukee Home, and our own registration database, as well as Google Earth in order to confirm an invalid address to ensure that we are not making an error.



Delete



Move to




Forward



Reply



More







invalid address to ensure that we are not making an error.

As Ms. Zapata and I have already shared with you, some candidates turned in their signatures in batches prior to or at the same time that you were turning in your signatures. We review papers in the order in which they are received and do not allot specific amounts of time to any one candidate.

Sincerely,

Claire Woodall-Vogg




Delete



Move to



Forward



Reply



More







# RE: Nomination Papers



Zapata, Kimberly

To [eyeforjustice](#) & 2 more

Jan 12 at 8:44 AM

Ms Griffin,

We use the "Property Data" database which is found on the front page of the City of Milwaukee's website. Here is the link:

<https://itmdapps.milwaukee.gov/MyMilwaukeeHome/indexSidebar.jsp>

Also here is the link to the "Contact



Delete



Move to



Forward



Reply



More







Zapata, Kimberly

To [eyeforjustice](#) & 2 more

Jan 11 at 2:07 PM

Ms. Griffin,

I'm following up my voicemail to you regarding your nomination papers. We were able to certify 1,424 signatures. You need at least 76 more valid signatures. You have until 5pm today to turn in additional papers to reach the minimum 1,500 valid signatures.



Delete



Move to



Forward



Reply



More







# Re: Nomination Papers



me

To [Zapata, Kimberly](#)

Jan 12 at 1:02 PM

Thank you. I would like to know when it was last updated and checked for errors. I also would like to know why the city election commission failed to presume my nomination signatures not be valid as they are in substantial compliance with the law. Also I would like to know the exact time allotted on counting each



Delete



Move to



Forward



Reply



More







checked for errors. I also would like to know why the city election commission failed to presume my nomination signatures not be valid as they are in substantial compliance with the law. Also I would like to know the exact time allotted on counting each candidate nomination signatures and the number of people working on each one. I am currently working on filing complaints and asking for an audit and the information I am asking for is not privilege as such I am entitled to it. Thank you.-Ieshuh Griffin



Delete



Move to



Forward



Reply



More







I'm following up my voicemail to you regarding your nomination papers. We were able to certify 1,424 signatures. You need at least 76 more valid signatures. You have until 5pm today to turn in additional papers to reach the minimum 1,500 valid signatures.

Please contact me with any questions.

I wish to under open records law view the software you used to invalidate my signatures. I also have a plethora of geographical proof contradicting your allegations, lastly I would like the Madison election commission contact information so that I may request a formal investigation and audit regarding this matter. Thank you -  
Ieshuh Griffin



Delete



Move to



Forward



Reply



More







# Re: Nomination Papers



me

To [Woodall-Vogg, Claire](#)

Jan 12 at 1:37 PM

So are you telling me what I turned in today will not be reviewed by your office but the board on Monday?

> [Show original message](#)



Delete



Move to



Forward



Reply



More







# Re: Nomination Papers



me

To [Woodall-Vogg, Claire](#)

Jan 13 at 6:01 AM

Your office is not in compliance with law and I ask that I be provided with the names of everyone involved as I am filing request for investigations, audits and preparing to take legal actions. The affidavit and maps provided have not been challenged and your news interview is evidence you all are on a fishing expedition and did not give me the presumption of

- Delete
- Move to
- Forward
- Reply
- More





provided with the names of everyone involved as I am filing request for investigations, audits and preparing to take legal actions. The affidavit and maps provided have not been challenged and your news interview is evidence you all are on a fishing expedition and did not give me the presumption of validity. My nomination papers are in substantial compliance with the law and as such my nomination papers are to be honored. Again, I would like a list of all who are involved.-Ieshuh Griffin



Delete



Move to



Forward



Reply



More







# Re: Nomination Papers



me

To [Woodall-Vogg, Claire](#)

Jan 13 at 8:59 AM

I am FORMALLY requesting to copy and inspect pursuant to open records law the manual your office has used that directs your office to act in contradiction to the mandates of Chapter EL 2. I am formally requesting you provide me with what statute and law your office used to invalidate over 172 signatures without a verified



Delete



Move to



Forward



Reply



More







I am FORMALLY requesting to copy and inspect pursuant to open records law the manual your office has used that directs your office to act in contradiction to the mandates of Chapter EL 2. I am formally requesting you provide me with what statute and law your office used to invalidate over 172 signatures without a verified challenge. I ask that I receive this information as expeditiously as possible. I am also forwarding this request to the Wisconsin Election Commission.-Ieshuh Griffin



Delete



Move to



Forward



Reply



More







# Re: Insufficient for Certification



me

To [Woodall-Vogg, Claire](#)

Jan 13 at 3:17 PM

I am requesting to know the exact papers you alledged are not valid and my affidavit contradicts these allegations. I wish to be provided a copy of each specific address that you alledge is invalid and my affidavit corrects any alledge date you claim is missing as it states I circulated all papers. I wish to be provided a copy of each paper you



Delete



Move to



Forward



Reply



More







papers you alledged are not valid and my affidavit contradicts these allegations. I wish to be provided a copy of each specific address that you alledge is invalid and my affidavit corrects any alledge date you claim is missing as it states I circulated all papers. I wish to be provided a copy of each paper you alledge is not a valid address today. Thank you-leshuh Griffin

> Show original message

- Delete
- Move to
- Forward
- Reply
- More





# Re: Insufficient for Certification



me

To [Woodall-Vogg, Claire](#)

Jan 13 at 3:23 PM

I don't have to submit photos or anything else you all have heightened rules and have violated law. I will file an additional complaint as there is no law stating I have to submit photos. I again ask to be provided a paper copy of each SPECIFIC address you alledge is invalid. I also request to know each website that was used to



Delete



Move to



Forward



Reply



More







Jan 13 at 3:23 PM

I don't have to submit photos or anything else you all have heightened rules and have violated law. I will file an additional complaint as there is no law stating I have to submit photos. I again ask to be provided a paper copy of each SPECIFIC address you alledge is invalid. I also request to know each website that was used to invalidate the addresses.

- Delete
- Move to
- Forward
- Reply
- More