

ADMINISTRATIVE RULES Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis

1. Type of Estimate and Analysis <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Original <input type="checkbox"/> Updated <input type="checkbox"/> Corrected	2. Date 2/23/24 - DRAFT
3. Administrative Rule Chapter, Title and Number (and Clearinghouse Number if applicable) Proposed Chapter EL 4 - Election Observers	
4. Subject The conduct, regulation, and accomodation of election observers	
5. Fund Sources Affected <input type="checkbox"/> GPR <input type="checkbox"/> FED <input type="checkbox"/> PRO <input type="checkbox"/> PRS <input type="checkbox"/> SEG <input type="checkbox"/> SEG-S	6. Chapter 20, Stats. Appropriations Affected Wis Stat. 20.510 Elections Commission
7. Fiscal Effect of Implementing the Rule <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No Fiscal Effect <input type="checkbox"/> Increase Existing Revenues <input type="checkbox"/> Increase Costs <input type="checkbox"/> Decrease Costs <input type="checkbox"/> Indeterminate <input type="checkbox"/> Decrease Existing Revenues <input type="checkbox"/> Could Absorb Within Agency's Budget	
8. The Rule Will Impact the Following (Check All That Apply) <input type="checkbox"/> State's Economy <input type="checkbox"/> Specific Businesses/Sectors <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Local Government Units <input type="checkbox"/> Public Utility Rate Payers <input type="checkbox"/> Small Businesses (if checked, complete Attachment A)	
9. Estimate of Implementation and Compliance to Businesses, Local Governmental Units and Individuals, per s. 227.137(3)(b)(1). \$ 0	
10. Would Implementation and Compliance Costs Businesses, Local Governmental Units and Individuals Be \$10 Million or more Over Any 2-year Period, per s. 227.137(3)(b)(2)? <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	
11. Policy Problem Addressed by the Rule Currently, there are no administrative rules regarding election observers, and municipalities use Commission guidance instead to help administer election observation. The proposed rule would add clarity and bring uniformity to the processes around election observation, including checking in as an observer, conduct of observers, election officials selecting observer areas, providing information to observers, and managing observers alongside other election responsibilities.	
12. Summary of the Businesses, Business Sectors, Associations Representing Business, Local Governmental Units, and Individuals that may be Affected by the Proposed Rule that were Contacted for Comments. Members of the Commission's advisory committee on election observers and all Wisconsin municipal clerks were contacted for comments.	
13. Identify the Local Governmental Units that Participated in the Development of this EIA. N/A (this will be updated following the comment period)	
14. Summary of Rule's Economic and Fiscal Impact on Specific Businesses, Business Sectors, Public Utility Rate Payers, Local Governmental Units and the State's Economy as a Whole (Include Implementation and Compliance Costs Expected to be Incurred) There will be little to no economic and fiscal impact on buisnesses, buisness sectors, public utility rate payers or the state's economy as a whole due to the implementation of this proposed rule. Local government units, specifically all municipal clerks, will need to use these rules to trail election officials concerning election observation, and take the time to establish observer areas and prepare observer materials. However, as election observation and training of election inspectors already occurs, this rule should merely standardize and clarify existing processes, rather than require additional resources. Municipal clerks do not need to hire any new staff to implement the procedures.	
15. Benefits of Implementing the Rule and Alternative(s) to Implementing the Rule The main benefit of implementing this rule is that all Wisconsin municipalities would be bound by its provisions, bringing a common understanding to the process of election observation, and reducing the differences that may exist in practices across different municipalities. Having election observation be relatively uniform statewide should allow	

ADMINISTRATIVE RULES

Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis

voters, election officials, and observers to know what to do and what to expect. Everyone having an opportunity to know what the rules are should facilitate smooth elections. There is no clear alternative to implementing this rule other than not implementing it and remaining with the status quo, in which all clerks may use Commission guidance, but must make their own decisions about how to carry out the very general requirements of section 7.41, Stats.

16. Long Range Implications of Implementing the Rule

These rules will allow each municipality to create uniform practices for election observation. There should be increased confidence that observing elections looks the same across the state, and that election officials know what they need to do and observers know what they are able to do. This should add stability to the process and may increase confidence in elections due to the knowledge that there is a fair method to observe how voting was carried out locally.

17. Compare With Approaches Being Used by Federal Government

The Federal Government does not implement a specific approach to election observing as they vest those powers to the states to decide individually.

18. Compare With Approaches Being Used by Neighboring States (Illinois, Iowa, Michigan and Minnesota)

The qualifications and credentials needed to become an Election Observer in Illinois, Iowa, and Minnesota are outlined in statutes that differ greatly from section 7.41, Stats. In addition to putting both the rights and needed qualifications in statute, the state of Iowa has its "poll-watching" parameters set both in statute and a guide prepared by the Office of the Iowa Secretary of State while Michigan details its rules and parameters strictly in a document provided by the Michigan Bureau of Elections and ratified by the State of Michigan's Secretary of State. Michigan and Minnesota differentiate the qualifications and duties for being able to poll-watch from the ability to challenge an election.

<h4>19. Contact Name</h4> <p>Brandon Hunzicker</p>	<h4>20. Contact Phone Number</h4> <p>608-267-0714</p>
--	---

This document can be made available in alternate formats to individuals with disabilities upon request.

ADMINISTRATIVE RULES
Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis

ATTACHMENT A

1. Summary of Rule's Economic and Fiscal Impact on Small Businesses (Separately for each Small Business Sector, Include Implementation and Compliance Costs Expected to be Incurred)

There should be no economic or fiscal impact on small businesses from the implementation of this proposed rule.

2. Summary of the data sources used to measure the Rule's impact on Small Businesses

N/A

3. Did the agency consider the following methods to reduce the impact of the Rule on Small Businesses?

- Less Stringent Compliance or Reporting Requirements
- Less Stringent Schedules or Deadlines for Compliance or Reporting
- Consolidation or Simplification of Reporting Requirements
- Establishment of performance standards in lieu of Design or Operational Standards
- Exemption of Small Businesses from some or all requirements
- Other, describe:

None of these methods were considered since nothing in the rule places any burden on small businesses.

4. Describe the methods incorporated into the Rule that will reduce its impact on Small Businesses

N/A

5. Describe the Rule's Enforcement Provisions

N/A

6. Did the Agency prepare a Cost Benefit Analysis (if Yes, attach to form)

Yes No
