

## **Municipal Board of Canvassers**

Began at 4pm on November 8, 2024 with introductions from the Municipal Board of Canvassers and everyone else present.

### **Provisionals Counted at MBOC: 24**

Ward 28: 1 (PV #2)  
Ward 40: 1 (PV #1)  
Ward 41: 2 (PV #3, 4)  
Ward 43: 2 (PV #2, 4)  
Ward 46: 2 (PV #8, 10)  
Ward 51: 1 (PV #1)  
Ward 52: 1 (PV #4)  
Ward 53: 1 (PV #3)  
Ward 54: 1 (PV #2)  
Ward 72: 1 (PV #3)  
Ward 73: 1 (PV #1)  
Ward 76: 2 (PV #1, 2)  
Ward 79: 1 (PV #4)  
Ward 85: 1 (PV #1)  
Ward 87: 1 (PV #2)  
Ward 97: 1 (PV #1)  
Ward 98: 1 (PV #2)  
Ward 103: 1 (PV #1)  
Ward 110: 1 (PV #2)  
Ward 114: 1 (PV #4)

Feedback from MBOC: every provisional ballot was stamped!

### **Inspectors' Statements Reviewed:**

Ward 52: numbers match, seals documented, press observing, some confusion over proof of address.

Ward 102: EOs at M-Z poll book initially didn't record voter slip #s.

Ward 86: Numbers match. Zero'd out results and re-fed ballots after numbers initially didn't match. Eventually identified that an absentee wasn't issued a voter slip #.

Ward 42: Had a Presidential only voter and challenged an at polls voter who registered at an IPAV site and insisted they hadn't voted. Showed the voter the envelope with their name/address and the voter claimed they it wasn't their handwriting. CI challenged the voter and the voter voted.

Ward 63 – Looks good

Ward 64 – Everything looks fine

Ward 41 – DJ Outside

Ward 30 – Numbers matched. But they didn't do the first two questions on the incident log (polls open on time & designated clock, and end of line officer)

Ward 31 - Didn't do the first two questions on the incident log (polls open on time & designated clock, and end of line officer)

Ward 71: First three events (end of line officer, designated clock, and polls open on time) on incident log not completed.

Ward 35: A lot of observers!

Ward 37: Did not document end of line officer on inspectors' statement.

Ward 7, 121: Didn't list total # of voters on inspectors' statement. Should not document the name of voters when remaking ballots.

Ward 38: Didn't identify an end of line officer in the inspectors' statement incident log.

Ward 39: Only one observer.

Ward 43: We don't reject an absentee if the witness address is missing a zip code.

Ward 9: 9am – 4:15p they were three off. Finally realized they had assigned three voter slip #s to three absentees but hadn't opened the envelopes and cast the ballots. Also, a lot of documentation needlessly named voters.

Ward 44: Documented that an absentee ballot was rejected by the clerk's office because a witness signature was missing. Only the polling place can reject an absentee ballot—the clerk's office merely flags the issue.

Ward 77: Asked if future ballots could be printed in Spanish and Hmong.

Ward 45: Either didn't list their end of line officer or listed it as the same as their clock.

Ward 90: Off by 1. They point to an incident # that doesn't explain the issue.

Ward 46: A lot of remakes!

Ward 47: CI and three EOs didn't sign their inspectors' statement.

Ward 91, 92: Numbers off by one (one more voter slip than ballots cast). Don't point to an explanation. No documentation of reconciliation. CI did not sign the inspectors' statement.

Ward 94: Numbers off by three. They explained one (voter needed a second ballot but instead walked out). CI did not sign the inspectors' statement, no reconciliation through the day.

Ward 55: Didn't designate end of line officer, official clock, or document polls opened at 7am in the incident log.

Ward 57: Didn't designate end of line officer, official clock (did document later), or document polls opened at 7am in the incident log.

Ward 13: After closed polls at 8:45, discovered more ballot slip #s issued than ballots counted.

Ward 95: Did not designate end of line officer. Could use a lot more documentation overall.

Ward 14: Voter slip #s two higher than ballots cast through most of the day.

Ward 58: Police arrived saying that someone needed assistance. No one needed assistance.

Ward 59: Did not designate end of line officer. Please use plain English when documenting reasons for remaking a ballot (we aren't limited to the checkboxes on the ballot).

Ward 15: Somewhere between 1 -1:45 pm, tabulator was full and rejecting ballots. Removed and placed in blue tote instead of straightening out inside the tabulator. Then removed the ballots from the blue tote and placed them in the red cage.

Ward 60: Great documentation!

Ward 98: Numbers match. Great, legible documentation!

Ward 62: Did not document end of line officer.

Ward 18: Had a provisional ballot before 10am and their numbers were off. Realized that they issued the PV a regular voter slip number.

Ward 87/88: Documentation makes us wonder if they had accepted an Ohio driver license?

Ward 100: Good except very little documentation, especially between 4 and 9pm.

Ward 89: Inspectors' statements incidents 2 & 3 not completed. Incidents end at 2:47pm.

Ward 101: Everything looks good but they didn't give us the County Clerk envelope.

Ward 25: Skipped voter slip #s 250-499 because they didn't have the pad.

Ward 115: Had a curbside voter in a convertible. Another voter with two different insurance statements upset that they couldn't use either as POR.

Ward 26, 128: Inspectors' statements incidents 2 & 3 not completed. Numbers off by 1.

Ward 118: Didn't designate end of line officer.

Ward 103: One incident documented at 7:45pm only about remaking a ballot. No ballot in rejected absentee envelope.

Ward 132: Numbers off by three; don't see an explanation or attempt to reconcile. Should've called the clerk's office.

Ward 22: Didn't initial red tabulator box seal #s on the inspectors' statement at the end of the night.

Ward 109: Great documentation!

Ward 105/106: Failed to initial that the side seals match on the inspectors' statement when opening.

Ward 110: Did not document end of line officer.

The Municipal Board of Canvassers concluded meeting at 7:15pm on November 8, 2024.