To whom it may concern,

Defendan Birk-LaBarge seems to be drawing attention away from her violations and toward Mr. Joling's concerns about ballot creases interfering with machine counts. The issue seems to be irrelevant since complaint EL 24-126 doesn't say anything about the creased ballot issue.

Nevertheless, an affidavit of fact and rebuttal from Mr. Joling to Birk-LaBarge's story is attached, which also addresses her claims on page three of her response.

Defendant Birk-LaBarge claims that the complaint is based on "second and third hand conversations with others." This is a false statement and more deflection on her part. The complaint EL 24-126 is based on her own words in an email that she sent to multiple people, dated October 28th, 2024. She has not denied that that email attached to the complaint was authentic and unaltered.

Her statements in that email and in her response to the complaint confirm the facts that she violated the rights of Mr. Joling to attend a public meeting by running him through requirements not authorized by law, and then denied him the right to record the machine test, and that her bullying tactics effectively prevented him from even asking questions about the process.

Birk-Labarge's proclivity for excuses and avoidance of the rules is very apparent. For example, the last sentence in the response says "Finally, nowhere does it say that equipment test observers should not be documented." So according to her, she will make up any rule or impediment against open government and transparency that is not specifically prohibited by law, as she demonstrated in her actions against Mr. Joling.

This in turn denied the rights of any voter, such as myself, who would have liked to have seen Mr. Joling's video and know more about the testing and validity of the machines.

Defendant Birk-Labarge said on page 3 of the response "Joling has no knowledge and no training of how our machines are tested, what the results should be and what we look for once the test ballots are fed into the machine. He has no educational knowledge in testing election equipment to make such a statement."

That is correct and that is exactly the point. The public does not understand the testing, so that is why the statutes provide for this testing as being an open meeting. This might have been a chance to make a positive, informative video on the reliability of the vote counting system, complete with a friendly, helpful explanation of every step of the process, which would support a public trust in the election process.

Instead, Birk- Labarge sconed the idea and mistreated Joling just for being there, and to the point of making up arbitrary rules contrary to the statutes, and calling in the police chief as an intended chilling effect on Joling's public inquiry into the machine testing process.

Birk-Labarge dismisses the gravity of her violations by saying "Dan Kindelberger is not an aggrieved party to this complaint." The self-centered arrogance of her comment indicates that she has no concept of voters' expectation of honest, transparent elections. It should be assumed ALL Kronenwetter voters are aggrieved parties to Birk-LaBarge's misconduct.

Defendant Birk-Labarge made up her own rules and "facts" based on her failure to even bother to read her election manuals or the statutes on the matter. This affects all voters.

Instead of taking responsibility for her incompetence and then making an effort to correct it, she lays the blame on others at the county clerk's office who she says gave her "misinformation" about something that as village clerk, Birk-LaBarge had a person responsibility to know for herself.

Birk-Labarge's response just serves to confirm the allegations in the complaint of her violations, and reconfirms her opinionated attitude that Mr. Joling was the one creating problems, not her. She even implies that since he is a former police chief, Joining somehow should have known the election laws, which she excuses herself for not knowing and abiding by those same laws.

Birk-Labarge's story also shows her failure to adequately train the chief election inspectors. Either of which should have informed her that her instructions to Mr. Joling were out of line with the situation, if they really knew their job.

Given this example, how do we know that any of the poll workers at Kronenwetter are adequately trained?

Statute 5.84 (1) states "Public notice of the time and place of the test shall be given by the clerk at least 48 hours prior to the test by publication of a class 1 notice under ch. 985 in one or more newspapers published within the municipality if a newspaper is published therein, otherwise in a newspaper of general circulation therein."

Defendant Birk-LaBarge dismisses this requirement as "Notices under Wis. Stat. Ch. 985, which is cited by Wis. Stat. s. 5.84, are not necessarily required to be in a newspaper." and defers this obligation to the county clerk.

Any notice published by the county clerk is not a substitute for Birk-LaBarge's negligence, because at best they post only a day and location, but not the time of the test.

Apparently the last paragraph of page three of the response is meant as a personal attack on my opinions and credibility because I happen to take offense that a public servant who repeatedly disregards her obligations and duties. The voicing of opinions based on truth is still a right in American whether Birk-LaBarge likes it or not, and should underscore the sincerity of the complaint, not undermine it as the response suggests.

She seems to have some kind of vendetta against Village Trustee Ken Charneski, which I will not even try to understand because it has nothing to do with my complaint.

That paragraph does show her profound hypocrisy, victimhood attitude, and unwillingness to admit any violations or incompetence on her part without somehow blaming someone else for it. I believe this failure to correct her ways and follow rules also explains why there are multiple election complaints against her, as well as a successful open records Mandamus action against the village due to her activities.

I believe that Brik-LaBarge's resignation was a maneuver to avoid accountability for her numerous violations and also due to her worn out welcome at the village in general.

It is my hope that this resignation will not deter the WEC from holding her fully accountable for her actions and breach of trust with the public. To do any less would send the wrong message to the new clerk, and to all the other clerks who might perceive that the WEC does not take reckless violations like this seriously.

Sincerely,

Dan Kindelberger

Notory: Courtney Sommer

State: Wisconsin

County: Marathon

Expires: 8/31/2026



Affidavit of Fact regarding Complaint EL 24-126

Having first-hand personal knowledge of the following facts, I submit this affidavit as a supplement to Daniel Kindleberger's election complaint EL 24-126 against Bobbi Birk-LaBarge.

On page 2 of LaBarge's response, she states:

"Ken Charneski, who has filed an election complaint EL 24-26: Charneski v. Birk-LaBarge, was seeking open records requests for information pertaining to our public testing and information that was used to compile this complaint filed by Daniel Kindelberger, came directly from Ken Charneski."

This comment seems absurd and irrelevant. I am a Village trustee, and received several complaints about Ms. LaBarge's election activities. I work with and for the people of the Village; not to cover up for the misconduct of employees.

Ms LaBarge is very aware of the fact that Mr. Kindleberger was on to her poor performance as village clerk, due to publicized ballot mix-ups and a staged photo of her clowning around with absentee ballots (see attached).

I believe the ongoing embarrassment to the Village was enough motivation for Mr Kindleberger to inquire and then file his complaint quite adequately on his own. I never sent him anything that my public information requests turned up. He is also able to do his own research.

If I wanted to "feed" information to someone wanting to file complaint, EL 24-126 might have been much longer, as there were a number of citizen complaints I had received. I am a little surprised that the WEC has not received more official complaints against Ms LaBarge than what has been sent.

For example one of which was from the Mosinee school district about continued problem of wrong ballots being issued, and another complaint was from a couple who voted in person absentee, only to find out that Ms LaBarge unilaterally spoiled or destroyed their ballots without their consent, even though they were lawfully cast.

Page 40 of the Response is my reply to this couple. It is not to Mr Kindleberger as Ms LaBarge has it labeled. (attached)

LaBarge goes on to say in her Response - "Emails reveal he was working with Dan Joling and Dan Kindelberger but did not file the complaint himself, most likely because he was served a cease-and-desist order in August by my attorney."

This is another example of LaBarge's derogatory speculation/diversion form the issue at hand. If I

wanted to file another complaint, then I would do it whether or not there is some kind of non-existent "order" that she claims. I decided to send a response to LaBarge's October 28 email (attached) that expressed my disappointment with her poor judgment. I could just as well have filed another complaint with the WEC, if that's what I wanted to do. So, her speculation about some kind of "order" even if there was one, would make no sense.

On page 3 LaBarge states: "...comments that Daniel Kindelberger has made on social media sites about the election's complaints filed against me by himself, Ken Charneski and Ken Charneski's friends."

Kronenwetter is not a large community. While I know who the people are who filed 2 other complaints, LaBarge's label of "friends" is not true. I was not even aware that they were filing complaints until after they were filed. Her apparent attempt to mischaracterize the several complainants as some kind of small group of conspirators is simply a distraction from the fact that there are a number of people in this village who are fed up with LaBarge's antics and mismanagement of her election duties.

I hope that this information clears up some of the misconceptions stated in Ms LaBarge's Response. I affirm that the forgoing is true, based on my personal knowledge.

Dec 12, 2024

Kenneth Charneski

Kronenwetter Wi 54455

kcharneski@kronenwetter.org

STATE OF WISCONSIN) MARATHON COUNTY)

PERSONALLY CAME ME THIS 12TH DAY OF DECEMBER, 2024 THE ABOVE NAMED KENNETH CHARNESKI TO ME KNOWN TO BE THE PERSON WHO SUBSCIBED HIS SIGNATURE TO THE FOREGOING AFFIDAVIT OF FACT REGARDING COMPLETED TO THE TRUTHFULNESS ON NOTARY.

CONTAINED IN THE FOREGOING AST ADDRESS.

MARATHON COUNTY, WISCONSIN COMMISSION IS PERMANENT

STATE OF WISCONSIN

WISCONSIN ELECTION COMMISSION

Daniel Kindelberger

WEC Complaint EL 24-126

VS.

Bobbi Jo Birk-LaBarge Clerk, Village of Kronenwetter

AFFIDAVIT OF REBUTTAL

I, **Daniel L. Joling**, the affiant and having personal knowledge of the facts surrounding the matter giving rise to the response articulated on Village of Kronenwetter letterhead, dated November 20th, 2024 and ultimately signed by **Clerk Bobbie-Jo Birk-LaBarge**. The following is my response to the areas in question and differ as to the "alleged facts" presented in the letter:

- 1. Page 1, 2nd para Clerk Birk-LaBarge states in the last sentence that I made note of the creases going through the "candidate's names". This is not fact as my email makes no reference to folds going through candidate's names. Moreso my concern was folds going through the voting or marking bubbles as I assume that is what the tabulation machines actually looks at or records. It was my intent to look at the ballot that my wife and I had cast to see if this was even an issue. This apparently was not something that Clerk Birk-Labarge was going to allow and I was only offered that our ballots could be spoiled and we could re-vote during the General Election.
- 2. Page 1, 3rd para I then asked if I could look at an unused ballot hoping to replicate the folds and see if the fold was in anyway going through the voting or marking bubble for the candidate, I had cast my vote for. I referenced a sample ballot that was available on the Marathon County website and made a determination that there was a possibility of such occurring but wanted to assure I had the correct dimensions as that alone could make a difference. As stated, I was referred to the ballots posted in the front entry way of the municipal building but there again, I was unsure as to the likeness or similarity in size and print with the actual ballots as those sample ballots are colored yellow not white. I did take some measurements but still had questions as to the validity of my concerns. Again, Clerk Birk-LaBarge makes reference to the folds going through "candidates' names" and that was not my concern or focus of my inquiries.
- 3. Page 2, 3rd para It is noted that I showed up for the public testing on October 28th, 2024 and was demanded to be recorded as a polling place observer and then goes on to explain how I did not see the need for such. This fact alone, and now knowing the correct statute that covers such testing as Wis. Stat 5.84 and not Wis. Stat. 7.41 as cited by Clerk Birk-LaBarge which is incorrect, would-be valid grounds for

my objection. I was not at the Municipal Center to observe polling, but rather for the public testing of the voting machines and tabulators. Further information which came to light during my research is that of the Village's (County's) choice of equipment ES&S DS200 tabulators that are not without issues, which furthered my concerns. Clerk Birk-LaBarge also makes mention that I allegedly observed Police Chief Terry McHugh outside the room where the testing was being conducted is a false statement on her part. Her false statement appears intended to somehow validate her requirements, and thereby cause me to further acquiesce to her or the Chief Election inspectors' directions and/or demands. I never knew the Police Chief was present outside the room and in fact confirmed that with him only after reading the reply made by Birk-Labarge.

- 4. Page 2, 4th para Clerk Birk-LaBarge makes the assumption that I was "screen shotting and sending information" and that I "appeared to be texting a conversation with someone." Both of these statements are incorrect, rather I was referencing Wis. Stat. 7.41 and making notes in my note app on my cellphone.
- 5. Page 2, 6th para Clerk Birk-LaBarge makes reference to being "given misinformation from the county."

 This type of statement provided by her in regards to this matter seriously inhibits my confidence in her ability to do the job of Village Clerk and in particular her ability in self-identifying any shortcoming she might have with regards to knowledge or training, in particular the governing Wisconsin Election statutes.
- 6. Page 3, 2nd para Clerk Birk-LaBarge implies my request to look at ballots was only for that of "blank ballots". I, in fact did ask to view the actual ballots that my wife and I had casted in my email to her on the 23rd of October, 2024. My hopes in viewing a "blank ballot" as explained previously was in an attempt to ascertain if in fact the folds could have gone through any of the voting or marking bubbles (not the candidate names). I further understand that the tabulation equipment referenced in the New Hampshire Public Radio article was different but my attempt was only that of trying to do due diligence yet felt my efforts were continuously thwarted by Clerk Birk-LaBarge and lacked any semblance of cooperation.
- 7. Page 3, 3rd para Clerk Birk-LaBarge makes references to her signage, although true it wasn't until I had walked down and was half way through the community room that I observed the dry erase board. I even looked down the hallway to the Board/Court room to insure it was not being conducted there. Not until walking up to the room (Judges Chamber room) was I able to confirm the machine testing was being conducted in that separate location.
- 8. Page 3, 4th para Clerk Birk-LaBarge makes the statement that she spoke to me in "an authoritative manner to establish grounding." Her actions, demeanor, knowledge demonstrates in my mind that Clerk

- **Birk-LaBarge** was not that well trained or versed in Election Law and requirements included in the process. I believe that **Clerk Birk-LaBarge** wanted to apply her authority under **Wis. Stat. 7.41(3)** and quickly established an environment of coercion and intimidation.
- 9. Page 3, 6th para Clerk Birk-LaBarge continues to lament of my failing or contesting the Election Observer sign in or log in procedure as well as the wearing of the "sticker." As stated, this requirement does not apply under public testing (Wis. Stat 5.84) and therefore confirms Daniel Kindelberger's point that Clerk Birk-LaBarge was imposing requirements that do not exist in this situation.
- 10. Page 3, 7th para Clerk Birk-LaBarge goes on to recall my interpretation of what equipment results were being gleaned on the day of public testing (10/28/24). I will agree that I have had no formal training on the testing of equipment and hence my interest given what I accumulated to date as to a possible issue with my cast ballot. Further with her statements about what my observations were or were not would be speculation on her, Clerk Birk-LaBarge's part entirely. I would draw attention again to the statement that she spoke to me in "an authoritative manner to establish grounding." At that point and until the end of the public testing I did not feel that I could ask any questions, approach any table or look at any ballots or tabulator results to further answer my questions or concerns. It was noted early on that the two tabulators on what appeared to be initial boot up produced an error code (#7101001) on the screen. Again, this could not be rectified by Clerk Birk-LaBarge nor her two "Chief Election inspectors" and a phone call had to be made to what I believe was someone at the County Clerk's office that had some technical expertise not possessed by Birk-LaBarge. At no time were there any explanations as to what it was that I was observing during the testing by Clerk Birk-LaBarge. The atmosphere which had been early established by Birk-Labarge prevented me from inquiring into the procedure known as public testing.
- 11. Page 3, 8th para This is a perfect example of the oxymoron of the basis of this complaint in question. A member of the public comes to open public testing procedure and is asking to take pictures or video of the public procedure and is told that they cannot. That denial being based on a State Statute that does not apply to the situation and then when questioned stating that their (the Village's) in doing exactly the same thing by, "This is a normal practice for the Village of public testing day." The hypocritical and biased application of some mis-perceived law or statute is what I have objections with. This in regards to my interactions with Village of Kronenwetter Clerk Bobbi-Jo Birk-LaBarge and in particular that of her knowledge or lack thereof and application of Wisconsin Election Law and requirements set forth by Wisconsin State Statutes. This was not Clerk Birk-LaBarge's first election cycle here in the Village of Kronenwetter.

- 12. Page 4, 1st para The claim being made here is that that although governed under Wis. Stat. 5.84 as a public meeting that there were no formal requirements per se and that Wis Stat 19.90 in all likelihood did not apply to this event. Therefore, this was what seems to be a public gathering to watch a testing of some Village Election equipment. My concerns are about Birk-LaBarge's demand to fill out a roster, show my I.D and wear a sticker which is not a requirement. I fail to see the validity of some arbitrary requirement(s) made up or imposed by someone that did not even know or understand the applicable statute which basically seems to be to a public gathering and according to Clerk Birk-LaBarge, no law governing such. A further concern is the speaking "in an authoritative manner to establish grounding" which went a long way to establish an environment which discouraged any public (mine) interaction and questions being answered with regards to election equipment testing procedures or practices. Clerk Birk-LaBarge's statement in the last statement is concerning in that she seems to imply that she, the Village Clerk can enact arbitrary requirements or mandates because the law is silent on such, I would seriously question her authority to do such at this point.
- 13. Throughout my interactions with Clerk Birk-LaBarge since becoming Village Clerk and in particular with regards to Election Law applications and events surrounding such, I have found her to be confrontational, condescending and appears to display a certain disdain for myself and others of the community. It therefore draws me to question Clerk Birk-LaBarge's attention to duty, tact and deportment and unbiased performance of her duties as Village Clerk and an Election Official in the State of Wisconsin. I would encourage the Wisconsin Election Commission to make particular note of such actions on the part of Clerk Bobbi Jo Birk-LaBarge and formally reprimand her and further, articulate to her the scope and responsibilities within the parameters of Wisconsin Statutes regarding elections and as it pertains to someone in her position as a municipal clerk.

The aforementioned statements are made truthfully, accurately and to the best of my knowledge.

FREDEL

Signed:

DANIEL L. JOLING December 2nd, 2024

State of Wisconsin

County of Marathon

Signed and sworn to (or affirmed) before me on $\frac{12/2}{2}$, 20 $\frac{2}{2}$

by Daniel L. Joling.

Signed by: Notary Public

Printed Name: <u>Eay W.</u>

My Commission expires on 15 RERMANENT 20

NOTARY NOTARY OF WISCOMM



Re: [External] NHPR Windham Ballot Article

From Ken Charneski < kcharneski@kronenwetter.org>

Date Fri 11/8/2024 5:03 PM

To Bobbi Birk-LaBarge <bbirklabarge@kronenwetter.org>; Dan Joling <djoling2900@gmail.com>

Cc Chris VOLL <chrisvoll54455@gmail.com>; Kelly Coyle <kellycoyle@kronenwetter.org>; Christopher Eiden <ceiden@kronenwetter.org>; Alex Vedvik <avedvik@kronenwetter.org>; Aaron Myszka <amyszka@kronenwetter.org>; Jennifer Poyer <jpoyer@kronenwetter.org>; Kimberly Coyle <kcoyle@kronenwetter.org>; Sarah Fisher <sfisher@kronenwetter.org>; Terry P. McHugh <tmchugh@kronenwetter.org>; Chris Smart <OfficerChris@kronenwetter.org>; Terry P. McHugh

<tmchugh@kronenwetter.org>

Board Members,

I think this email from the village clerk is yet another example of why Kronenwetter has the poor reputation that it does.

The clerk had no authority to prevent Mr. Joling from recording the test of the vote counting machines.

The clerk wrongly uses statute 7.41 (1) and WEC election manual instructions in her email to justify her actions, but neither the statute nor the WEC manual applied to that situation AT ALL.

Her email provided a link to the "Wisconsin Election Day Manual for Election Officials". That manual is relevant to specific to procedures for **Election Day**, and has **nothing to do** with public tests like this, just as statute 7.41 (1) does not apply.

The clerk's email appears to be intended create a narrative putting Mr. Joling in a negative light by attempting to make him look like he created problem. Instead, she succeeds at describing her own, negligence, and violation of statutes

This test was a **public meeting**, according to statute 5.84(1) and the WEC press release about these tests. It was held in a room separate from a "polling place", and Mr. Joling or anyone else had every right to take photos or video of the test.

Transparency and voter confidence is the whole purpose of doing the test in public. The clerks decision to restrict that public accessibility (video and photos) defeated that purpose. She admitted to not even knowing what she was talking about when she prevented Mr. Joling from recording, but she prevented it anyway. She should know by now what "public meeting" means.

The clerk apparently did not even take a moment to read her own WEC election manual (Election Administrative Manual for Wisconsin Municipal Clerks) but instead claims to have checked with someone else about it after the test was over.

As if preventing the recording was not enough, she then had her own photos of the event taken and published online, without explaining why she does not allow members of the public like Mr Joling to do the same.

The clerk's latest exhibition of poor judgment appears to be yet another another in a series causing embarrassment for the Village and which reinforce public mistrust of the integrity that our Kronenwetter elections are otherwise supposed to have.

The Village Board looks like fools every time the clerk does something like this and gets a free pass by Board members. I'm getting a little tired of hearing questions from the public as to "why is she not being held accountable for her actions?".

I don't see where those Board members have any excuses left to make for the clerk's incompetent and/or inappropriate behavior, with not even a hint of apology anywhere to be found.

The voters and taxpayers deserve better than this. Mr. Joling is certainly due an apology.

Ken Charneski

From: Bobbi Birk-LaBarge <bbr/>bbirklabarge@kronenwetter.org>

Sent: Monday, October 28, 2024 11:35 AM

To: Dan Joling <djoling2900@gmail.com>

Cc: Chris VOLL <chrisvoll54455@gmail.com>; Kelly Coyle <kellycoyle@kronenwetter.org>; Christopher Eiden

<ceiden@kronenwetter.org>; Alex Vedvik <avedvik@kronenwetter.org>; Ken Charneski

<kcharneski@kronenwetter.org>; Aaron Myszka <amyszka@kronenwetter.org>; Jennifer Poyer

<jpoyer@kronenwetter.org>; Kimberly Coyle <kcoyle@kronenwetter.org>; Sarah Fisher <sfisher@kronenwetter.org>;

Terry P. McHugh <tmchugh@kronenwetter.org>; Chris Smart <OfficerChris@kronenwetter.org>; Terry P. McHugh

<tmchugh@kronenwetter.org>

Subject: RE: [External] NHPR Windham Ballot Article

Mr. Joling,

During Public Machine Testing today you requested to video the Chief Election Inspectors feeding the test ballots into the tabulator machines. I explained you could not because it was a state statute that prohibited that action. You demanded to know what statute I was referring to and you questioned where in Wisconsin State Statute it explains you cannot videotape or film. I did call Kelley Blume from the Marathon County Clerk's office as I was unsure of the exact statute.

After testing was concluded you asked where I had gotten the statute from. Information pertaining to your questions was found in 7.41(1), in the pamphlet (Pages 174 & 175 – see link below) you were given to read composed by the Wisconsin Elections Commission, and in the Wisconsin Election Day Manual on page 81, 83, etc., and can be found here: https://elections.wi.gov/sites/default/files/documents/ED%20Manual-February%202024.pdf

While you were observing, your consistent challenge of direction and questioning of the Chief Election Inspectors' direction became a distraction and interfered with our orderly process of public testing of our voting equipment therefore, you did receive a warning from me as the Village Clerk having authorization to do such. I want to thank you for not continuing on with those distractions and challenges to our Chief Election Inspector. Your willingness to tone things down made a significant difference and allowed us to work smoothly to test and finalize our election equipment.

I hope this helps answer your question.

Bobbi J. Birk-LaBarge

Village Clerk/Payroll/HR/Open Records Request Contact

Village of Kronenwetter

(715) 692-1728

(715) 301-8326 work cell

bbirklabarge@kronenwetter.org

Village Municipal Center

1582 Kronenwetter Drive Kronenwetter, WI 54455

Population: 8,551

https://cms6.revize.com/revize/kronenwettervillage



Elected Officials and Members of Village Committees: In order to comply with the Open Meetings Act requirements, please limit any reply to only the sender of this electronic communication.

Confidentiality Notice: This electronic mail transmission and any accompanying documents contain information belonging to the sender which may be confidential and legally privileged. This information is only for the use of the individual or entity to whom this electronic mail transmission was intended. If you are not the intended recipient, any disclosure, copying, distribution, or action taken in reliance on the contents of the information contained in this transmission is strictly prohibited. If you have received this transmission in error, please immediately contact the sender and delete this message. Thank you.

From: Dan Joling <djoling2900@gmail.com> Sent: Sunday, October 27, 2024 6:24 PM

To: Bobbi Birk-LaBarge <bb/>bbirklabarge@kronenwetter.org>

Cc: Chris VOLL <chrisvoll54455@gmail.com>; Kelly Coyle <kellycoyle@kronenwetter.org>; Christopher Eiden

<ceiden@kronenwetter.org>; Alex Vedvik <avedvik@kronenwetter.org>; Ken Charneski

<kcharneski@kronenwetter.org>; Aaron Myszka <amyszka@kronenwetter.org>; Jennifer Poyer

<jpoyer@kronenwetter.org>; Kimberly Coyle <kcoyle@kronenwetter.org>; Sarah Fisher <sfisher@kronenwetter.org>;

Terry P. McHugh <tmchugh@kronenwetter.org>; Chris Smart <OfficerChris@kronenwetter.org>

Subject: Re: [External] NHPR Windham Ballot Article

Thank you for the information.

Sent from my iPhone

On Oct 27, 2024, at 10:23 AM, Bobbi Birk-LaBarge < bbirklabarge@kronenwetter.org > wrote:

Mr. Joling,

I understand your concern reading that article. However, voting specialists say that a crease through a candidate's name would not invalidate a cast ballot. It is almost impossible to design a ballot where folds would not go through any name areas. The DS200 machines we use are not affected by folds. Modern scanners like the ones the Village uses, count ballots accurately no matter how they're folded. Good ballot design provides an extra measure of protection and the county makes sure that is done prior to delivery of the ballots to municipalities. If a mail ballot or an early vote absentee ballot can't be scanned for any reason, including problems related to a

From:

Ken Chain our hadronestro kramer vester stg.

To:

ylamaysa yaboa can afirmaysa yahad sasi

→ Dan Kindelberger

Date:

20134 11 00 11 200

Subject:

info as per your request

Attachments:

👉 Election Administration Manual - EA Manual-August 2024 electors pd!

This is what the rules say.



Village of Kronenwetter, WI

21h · 🕙

The first three hours of early voting yielded 141 ballots! Kronenwetter voters are AWESOME.... See more

