DigiCOPY
www.dcopy.net estore.dcopy.net
SUSTAINABLE PRINTING

1681 N Van Buren St. Milwaukee, WI 53202 Phone: 414-283-2679 Fax: 414-272-2414 milwaukee@dcopy.net

Date: 1/18/24 Formal Appeal

Pages (Including Cover): 20

FROM: Joshua Griffin

Company:

Name: Joshua Griffin

Phone: 414-239-2112

TO: Wisconsin

Company: Election Commission

Name: WEC

Fax: 1-608-267-0500

Comments: Formal ~~Appeal~~ Appeal

Please Process as such under 5.06 Wis Stat. Appeals of Decisions of Election officials

1/18/24

PAGE 2/21 REC'D 1/18/2024 12:44:14 PM [Central Standard Time] PRD 083276264

VERIFIED SWORN APPEAL

STATEMENTS TO THE WISCONSIN ELECTION COMMISSION

I FORMALLY APPEAL the Milwaukee Election Commission, as well as the Board of Election Commissioners decision on January 8, 2024 to **REMOVE my name from the ballot for alderperson for the 15th District.** This decision and inaction is not in conformity with clearly established law as detailed infra. This timely filed **appeal** is made to the Wisconsin Election Commission through this submission of sworn written statements **under Wis. Stats 5.06 'Appeals of Decisions of Election Officials'. (Emphasis Added).**

An 'appeal' is an 'institute of legal proceedings' to review the lawfulness of actions taking and if such actions are consistent with clearly established law or are in violation of clearly established law and to impose corrective measures upon finding such violation; it provides for further appellate relief if need be and the respondents are to answer in accordance to law, and truthfully. It is the will of the people and not the personal preferences of those clothed under 'color of law' as to who their elected public representatives should be. **See Cousins v Wigoda, 419 US 477.**

My signature below verifies and affirms, under oath, UNDER PENALTY OF PERJURY the following **facts** that are **true** and **correct** to the best of my personal knowledge, recollection and belief as it relates to events between January 2, 2024 and January 8, 2024 in my interactions, correspondences and notifications between myself and that of the Milwaukee Election Commission Office, Board of Election Commissioners & the Milwaukee Election Commission's employee Claire Woodall –Vogg (filing officer) (Executive Director of the Milwaukee Election

PAGE 3/21 REC'D 1/18/2024 12:44:14 PM [Central Standard Time] PRD 083276264

Commission) as it relates to my name being removed from the ballot for Alderperson for the 15th District race in Milwaukee, Wisconsin.

The express will of the voters cannot be rejected. **See Roth v LaFarge Sch, Dist Bd of Canvassers, 268 Wis 2d 335, 674 NW2d 553.** The right of the electors is a constitutional right not a mere privilege. **See State ex rel, Melms v Young, 172 Wis 197.** Ballot access is recognized as an importance aspect of voting rights. The primary focus is on the voters rights. **See 103 S.Ct.1564. Wis. Stats. 8.35(1).**

On January 2, 2024, Claire Woodall Vogg emailed me stating that 'we have finished our review of your nomination signatures for Aldermanic District 15. Of the 270 signatures submitted, 221 have been VERIFIED as VALID District 15 electors. Please see the attached spreadsheet for our review.' At no time after had the Milwaukee Election Commission contacted me stating that they have reversed their findings, nor did the Milwaukee Election Commission informa me of correcting any alleged additional challenged addresses. On January 5, 2024 Claire Woodall Vogg called me to inform me of a challenge; at no time did Woodall Vogg state that the Milwaukee Election Commission was reversing their initial findings. From the phone call to the actual board hearing Woodall Vogg as well as the Milwaukee Election Commission did not inform me that there was a reversal decision, and that the recommendation was to be placed on the ballot. Late, January 7, 2024, emailed me a board agenda link NOTHING else.

Woodall Vogg emailed me an agenda as it relates to the board meeting. At no time did Woodall Vogg nor the Milwaukee Election Commission inform me of any due process rights, nor any notice that there was a reversal in 'recommendation' as it relates to ballot placement. I repeatedly asked Woodall Vogg before the January 8, 2024 if the Milwaukee Election

PAGE 4/21 REC'D 1/18/2024 12:44:14 PM [Central Standard Time] PRD 083276264

Commission was alleging that I did not provided enough valid signatures to be on the ballot, she stated no, the recommendation remained.

I was and am shocked and surprised to discover that Woodall Vogg colluded with Stamper, and Johns to have me impermissibly removed from the ballot, by creating fictitious nomination papers with dead people names that included the name Marvin Bizzle, in which Claire Woodall Vogg tried to distance herself from. Stamper and Johnson also falsified document purporting to be from the Milwaukee Election Commission. Woodall Vogg was well aware of this as well as allowed and approved of Stamper and Johns impersonating Milwaukee Election Commission officials. At the hearing I was not afforded due process nor allowed to neither question, examine nor cross examine any witnesses.

I was essentially reduced to a mere spectator at the January 8, 2024 Board of Elections meeting. Woodall Vogg also knowingly lied that several addresses did not exist and I was prevented to show the documented evidence that the addresses in fact did exist. I was not allowed to play the audios that are being provided here in the appeal that would prove that Stamper and Johns and Woodall Vogg colluded against me and I did in fact provide enough sufficient valid signatures to be on the ballot for the 15th district alderperson race.

NATURE OF THE APPEAL

1. I am in substantial compliance with the obligations that must be met to have my name placed on the ballot for Alderperson for the 15th district. I have met all conditions precedent.
2. It is the will of the voters that must be the starting point and the ending point of all election related laws.

PAGE 5/21 REC'D 1/18/2024 12:44:14 PM [Central Standard Time] PRD 083276264

3. It was and is the will of at least 200 qualified electors in the 15ht District to have my name on the ballot for Alderperson for the 15th District.
4. The United States Constitution and federal laws, statutes and regulations hereunder supersede any state and local statute, including the Voters Right Act.
5. The City of Milwaukee Attorney impermissibly 'testified' as heard on audio.
6. Terrell Martin should have recused himself and his vote should have been voided as he expressly gave doubt to sworn testimony of mine midway through the testimony, stating 'should we be listening to this, or something of that nature.
7. Claire Woodall Vogg impermissibly acted as a handwriting expert, knowingly lying about an elector signing his signature with TWO Ls, when it is clear he did NOT. All the letter 'e' are the same the letter 'L' is different; Claire made the false statement with a reckless disregard for the truth and exploited an elderly Black man, whom spells his name different TWICE on the affidavit, but signs with ONE 'L'.
8. Russell Stamper did not submit a legally sufficient 'verified complaint'. Stamper did not state that he had personal knowledge of any information that I failed to be in substantial compliance of being a candidate for Alderperson for the 15th district. Stamper verified that he has read 'Maiselman's complaint', as the complaint is signed by the attorney and not Stamper although the heading on page 1 says 'VERIFIED COMPLAINT OF RUSSELL STAMPER'. Stamper did NOT sign the 'verified complaint' as such it is VOID AB INTIO.
9. An attorney cannot act as both an advocate and witness. Michael Maiselman's signed the verified complaint as a witness and not Stamper. Stamper verified that he has read 'Maiselman's complaint'.

PAGE 6/21 REC'D 1/18/2024 12:44:14 PM [Central Standard Time] PRD 083276264

10. Maiseltelman notarized his own work which by law is illegal and VOIDS the documents in its ENTIRETY.
11. A void document can NOT be considered.
12. The private investigator and Russell Stamper pretended to be from the Milwaukee Election Commission and took FAKE nomination papers with DEAD people name to an elderly residential facility located in the 15th district making false, slanderous allegation against my person.
13. Les Johns, created notarized papers with the Milwaukee Election Commission headed with the approval of Claire Woodall Vogg and told elders and an employee what to write and did so with reckless disregard for the truth.
14. A notary cannot notarize his own work nor help a customer with a notarized document.
15. Stamper and Johns both knew that Althea Anderson did not reside at the elderly facility but coerced her into saying she did on the falsified affidavit.
16. No one on any affidavit stated that the electors did not sign the nomination papers of Ieshuh Griffin. Stamper and Johns exploited an elder to say he did not sign it 'that day'.
17. Stamper and Johns manipulated and coerced an elderly elector into thinking and believing he did not sign a nomination paper on a 'certain date'.
18. Russell Stamper also used a woman he has had 'dealings' with to lie in an affidavit.
19. Claire Woodall Vogg at no time informed me of a right to a 3 day correction regarding any purported errors and only informed me that I was being 'recommended' for ballot placement.

PAGE 7/21 REC'D 1/18/2024 12:44:14 PM [Central Standard Time] PRD 083276264

20. The filing officer knowingly withheld information from me that was exculpatory in nature, such as informing me of any adverse decision in a timely manner that would have allowed me to submit evidence, documents and additional signatures on my behalf, which is contrary to EL 2.05(4)
21. No property management gave consent to neither Stamper nor Johns to harass the elders in their residence, or to be on their property coercing them into signing false affidavits.
22. On page 1, Woodall Vogg illegally struck a signature that is to be presumed valid, for the record Woodall Vogg has been noted n the past as to having issues with Asian people; 'Hu' is an Asian name. The person whom did the review UNDERSTOOD the name and did NOT provide and affidavit that he/she could not or did not.
23. Woodall Vogg also stated that several addresses were nonexistent and struck them. These addresses can be found on the City of Milwaukee's own website and should have been given the presumption of validity. 2817 W North Avenue, tax key is 3492123000 as well as 2612 W Center St, tax key 3101935000.
24. EL 2.07(5) states "Where it is alleged that the signer or circulator of a nomination paper does not reside in the district in which the candidate being nominated seeks office, the challenger may attempt to establish the geographical location of an address indicated on a nomination paper, by providing district maps, or by providing a statement from a postmaster or other public official. This did NOT occur,

GOVERNING LAW AS IT RELATES & ARGUMENT

Wisconsin state legislature mandates pursuant to ***law***; Wis. Stats. 8.35(1) 'any person who files nomination papers and qualifies…the name of the person ***shall*** appear on the ballot

PAGE 8/21 REC'D 1/18/2024 12:44:14 PM [Central Standard Time] PRD 083276264

except in the case of death of the person. The appellant has met all conditions precedent in the verified appeal. The actions of the respondents' further are in direct violation of the federal Hatch Act, as the respondents, while acting in their official capacities, unlawfully added heighted 'political' activity to a 'nonpartisan' election. It is unlawful for an election official to willfully neglect or refuses to perform an official duty, in fact it is considered 'election fraud'. **See Wis. Stats. 12.13(2).**

The appellant was denied by the respondents the right to a full, fair and impartial formal 'adjudicatory hearing'. An agency must initiate an 'adjudicatory' hearing when one is required by law. Wisconsin law mandates a person is entitled to such hearing if a 'substantial' interest of the person is 'injured' by the agency's action and there are disputed facts. **See Milwaukee Metropolitan Sewerage District v Wisconsin Department of Natural Resources, 375 N.W. 2d 648.**

The adjudicatory hearing embodies due process principles of fundamental fairness and opportunity to be heard, confront witness, obtain evidence as well as cross examine witnesses. **See Matthew v Eldridge, 424 US 319.** . A formal hearing in line with due process must occur before such deprivations are acted upon by the government. An administrative order is void if a hearing is denied or if the hearing which was given was inadequate and/or manifestly unfair. **See American Power Co v SEC, 329 US 90.**

The City of Milwaukee cannot interfere with a person's 'right' to work. A municipality has no authority to unlawfully 'convert' an 'elective' public officer into an 'appointed' public officer, nor does a municipality have power to 'authorize' its officers to 'perform' an illegal act, as such it lacks the power to 'ratify' such an act.

PAGE 9/21 REC'D 1/18/2024 12:44:14 PM [Central Standard Time] PRD 083276264

The Board of Election Commissioner as well as the Milwaukee Election Commission was required to state specific 'findings of fact' and 'conclusions of law' in the manner required of state agencies. **See Edmonds v Board of Fire & Police Commrs, 66 Wis. 2d 337, 224 N.W. 2d. 575.** The findings of the Board of Election Commissioners failed to specify what wrong acts that the appellant as a candidate was purported to have engaged in or specifically what acts constituted 'removal' from the mandates of ballot placement in compliance with clearly established law.

Both agencies violated procedural due process as the scope of the January 9, 2023 hearing exceeded notice; parties have a right to be appraised of issues to insure the right to be heard. **See Bracegirdle v Board of Nursing, 159 Wis 2d 402, 464 N.W. 2d 111.** The appellant to date has not received nor has the respondents given the appellant a written determination nor what grounds the actions were taken on. Every decision when made, signed and filed shall be served forthwith by personal delivery of mailing a copy to each party to the proceedings or to the party's attorney of record. See Wis. Stats.§ 227.48. Serving of a decision is complete on the date of mailing. **See In re Proposed Incorporation of Pewaukee, 72 Wis. 2d 593, 241 N.W. 2d 603.**

The respondents were without statutory authority to enlarge the State of Wisconsin's ballot placement qualifications. **See State ex rel. Knowlton v Williams, 5 Wis 308, Sate ex rel Wood v Baker, 38 Wis 71.** A statutory 'scheme' that denies a candidate a place on the ballot is unconstitutional. **See Williams v Rhodes, 393 U.S. 23.**

The respondents' have purposely failed to follow their own election laws and purposely created a 'separate and unequal' set of NON existence non promulgated election laws only applicable to the appellant with the purpose of discriminatory intent and minority vote dilution.

PAGE 10/21 REC'D 1/18/2024 12:44:14 PM [Central Standard Time] PRD 083276264

The protections of the Due Process Clause extend to public servants. **See Wieman v Updegraff, 344 U.S. 183.** A statutory 'scheme' that denies a candidate a place on the ballot is unconstitutional. **See Williams v Rhodes, 393 U.S. 23.** The respondents' are unlawfully interfering with the appellant's constitutional right to work for public service as a public servant. The protections of the Due Process Clause extend to public servants. **See Wieman v Updegraff, 344 U.S. 183.**

The diluting of Black voting strength has occurred and has been done by an orchestrated scheme of the respondents' and this scheme has had a serious irreparable injury to the public as well as the appellant in whom the public has consistently chosen to be their choice of representative. **Section 2 of the Voting Rights Act, 52 U.S.C. § 10301(a)** prohibits *any* "standard that results in a denial or abridgment of an election right.

A section 2 violation is established if "a process leading to nomination or election" in the jurisdiction "are not equally open to participation by members of a 'minority' group' in that its members have less opportunity than other members of the electorate to participate in the political process and to elect representatives of their choice. **See § 10301(b).**

The respondents have impermissibly abridged representative and voting rights in violation of Article I section 2 of the Constitution of the United States which violates the First Amendment Right to political association.

The respondents' by way of corruption and dishonest services and violations of clearly established federal and state law in a concerted effort to impeded on the will of the voters and as such has caused irreparable injury to the appellant and the will of the voters who were, are and have tried to conduct business and engage in lawful interstate commerce.

PAGE 11/21 REC'D 1/18/2024 12:44:14 PM [Central Standard Time] PRD 083276264

The respondents' acted outside the scope of their sworn duties and acted in violation of administrative rule making as well as in violation of Due Process of law. The respondents' engaged in an invalid exercise of administrative rule making power. The man made internal policy is an unconstitutional impermissible infringement on the right to political association, political ideas and violates the Dormant Commerce Clause as it is discriminatory based on a suspect classification not found in state law or the state's constitution; causing a substantial burden on 'disfavored' political persons.

The agencies 'rule' making was not preceded by notice and public hearing as required in sts. 227.17 and 227.18; nor was the agencies' 'rule' filed under Wis. Stat. Chapter 227.20. The unconstitutional self-imposed memo guide is not an election law, it imposes no duty and creates no office, it bestows no power or authority of obligation onto any candidates for ballot access. No rule making can abrogate rights secured by the United States Constitution. **See Miranda v Arizona, 384 US 436.**

Wis. Stats section 2.05(5) MANDATES that; "***Where any required*** item of information on a nomination paper is incomplete, the filing officer shall accept the information as complete if there has been ***substantial compliance*** with the law." "Departures from the normal procedural sequence also might afford evidence that improper purposes are playing a role. Substantive departures too may be relevant, particularly if the factors usually considered important by the decision maker strongly favor a decision contrary to the one reached."

MEC is engaging in a public harm by failing to carry out its duty owed to the electors and myself as a candidate as proscribed by law. The 'self-imposed' guidance memos of the MEC & BOEC were and are inadmissible and invalid as they have not been promulgated as rules as

PAGE 12/21 REC'D 1/18/2024 12:44:14 PM [Central Standard Time] PRD 083276264

mandated under Chapter 227 of the Wisconsin Statutes. **Quoting Luther v Borden, 48 US 1,** "...governments have no power to delegate what is not delegated to them...."

Martin, Ruiz-Cruz and Haag have a responsibility to adhere to all State as well as federal laws. Duties imposed on city board election commissioners and manner performance thereof, being particularly stated by law, such provisions must be followed or acts of board are invalid. **See State ex rel. Mayer v Schuffenhauer**. An act done in violation of mandatory provisions of election statute is void. **See Gradinjan v Boho, 29 Wis 2d 674.** Administrative powers are not freely and readily implied, 'any' reasonable doubt as to the existence of an implied power in an agency is to be resolved 'against' the agency. **See Kimberly-Clarke Corp. v Public Service Comm'n, 110 Wis.2d 455.** An administrative agency may not make a procedural rule which the legislature may not make, nor may an agency operate to deny due process of law.

Neither the filing officer, the Milwaukee Election Commission nor the Board of Election Commissioners ever afforded myself as a candidate a written explanation, nor written notice of the reasons nor findings of facts and conclusions of law as it relates to the unlawful and unconstitutional removal of my name from the rightful earned ballot placement by way of the will of the people. Due process commands a fair hearing before an impartial tribunal. The filing officer purposely and intentionally concealed relevant facts and circumstances on January 8, 2024.

Not only was Vogg the 'filing officer for the complaint, she was the investigator, 'expert witness' and 'advocate, also personally participating in the closed door decision making and acted as an advocate for the complainant Stamper. Due process as such, was violated.

An administrative agency does not have authority to initiate proceedings on its own motion. **See Public Utilities Com. V Pollak, 343 US 451.** The candidate was not provided

PAGE 13/21 REC'D 1/18/2024 12:44:14 PM [Central Standard Time] PRD 083276264

notice as it relates to the true 'nature' of the hearing, nor the fact and laws in which jurisdiction was under for the hearing to be held.

The filing officer did not comply with the mandatory obligation of notice to the appellant, there is no record, nor indication nor does the audio provided any evidence of a prior decision by Vogg as it relates to the 'denial of ballot placement' of the appellant had this been a formal decision of Vogg, for the record it was not. Nor, as heard in the audio provided as evidence did the filing officer provide the appellant of adequate notice of the true nature of the hearing so that an adequate response could be prepares.

The appellant had no knowledge of the memo guides used, nor the 'outside' of the record purported evidence used by Vogg; all which violates due process. The respondents did not comply with 19.84(2) public notice as to ballot placement issues regarding the appellant being 'intended for consideration at any contemplated closed session'. The appellant was not apprised of such information, nor was there an express prior notice afforded to the appellant that action would be taken regarding removal from ballot placement; in violation of public notice laws as well as in violation of procedural due process mandates.

The attorney has made nothing more than conclusionary legal allegations. There are no federal laws, statutes, rules nor regulations to consider unverified and undocumented conclusionary arguments and opinions as facts. **See North Carolina v Rice, 404 US 244.** The city attorney cannot 'testify', statements of counsel in the response are not 'facts' before the court. **See United States v Loveable, 431 US 783.**

The appellant is *not* ineligible for ballot placement. The appellant has met all conditions precedent. The burden of proof was on the complainant, whom filed the complaint. The filing officers had mandatory obligations to fulfill the mandates of the statute. The filing officer decides the challenge before the candidate certification deadline using the evidence provided by

PAGE 14/21 REC'D 1/18/2024 12:44:14 PM [Central Standard Time] PRD 083276264

the two parties. The filing officer issues a decision regarding the outcome of the complaint, as it is to be served as a record on appeal. The filing officer, Claire Woodall-Vogg did not issue a decision affirming the complaint, but issued a written decision via email confirmation to the appellant before the deadline certification, that all conditions precedent were met, and that she would be recommending ballot placement. No other decision to the contrary was put in writing or noticed to the appellant.

The respondents' actions are simply 'retaliation' against the appellant for 'exercising' a constitutional right. Election laws can only be enacted through the legislature. The election commission is not endowed to enact laws only to abide them. Woodall-Vogg simply does not have lawmaking power. Woodall-Vogg has a non discretionary duty to abide by an act in accordance with clearly established election laws, including a non discretionary duty to abide by and act in accordance with the Wisconsin Constitution and the United States Constitution.

RELIEF REQUESTED

I have a right to a free and fair election. I am entitled to rely on the Milwaukee Election Commission to comply with their duties and laws of the Wisconsin Constitution, State of Wisconsin and the United States Supreme Court as well as obligations under the Wisconsin Election laws. I am ENTITLED to EQUAL RIGHTS, EQUAL OPPORTUNITY & EQUAL PROTECTION under the laws of the United States. The appellant is in full compliance with all known election related legal duties, for ballot placement. The Wisconsin Election Commission should ORDER that my name is placed back on the ballot forthwith.

Being first duly sworn under oath, signed before a notary this 18th day of January 2023

Appellant/Candidate

Teshuh Griffin

Notary

NOTARY PUBLIC STATE OF WISCONSIN ABDALLAH SAPSOUR

PAGE 15/21 REC'D 1/18/2024 12:44:14 PM [Central Standard Time] PRD 083276264

EXHIBITS ATTACHED

1. **FOUR audio recordings that will be emailed to be included in the verified appeal.**
2. **January 2, 2024 email from Claire Woodall Vogg affirming that I ALL my signatures were VERIFIED and I would be 'recommended' for ballot placement in the 15th District alderperson race.**
3. **The ONLY spreadsheet emailed to me, and it shows that SR was the reviewer, whom did a COMPLETE review.**
4. **Proof that an address (2612 W Center ST.) did EXIST, contrary to Woodall-Vogg lies.**
5. **Proof that an address (2817 W North Ave) did EXIST, contrary to Woodall-Vogg lies.**
6. **My verified response to Stamper lies that Woodall-Vogg disregarded**

PAGE 16/21 REC'D 1/18/2024 12:44:14 PM [Central Standard Time] PRD 083276264

mail.yahoo.com/m

Nomination Paper Review

Woodall, Claire
To eyeforjustice & 1 more
Jan 2 at 2:45 PM

16 attachments

Good Afternoon Ieshuh,

We have finished our review of your nomination signatures for Aldermanic District 15. Of the 270 signatures submitted, 221 have been verified as valid District 15 electors. Please see the attached spreadsheet for our review.

All of your remaining forms have been filed and we will officially be recommending your name be placed on the ballot for the Spring Election for Alderperson District 15. I will send out an email tomorrow with full details on the Monday, January 8, 5:00pm Board of Commissioners meeting.

City of Milwaukee Election Commission Nomination Signature Review Tracker

Candidate: ***Ieshuh Griffin AD15***

Exec Review: *peg* *Exec Review Date:* 12/13/23

Page #	Reviewed by	Date Reviewed	Signatures Submitted	Signatures Verified	Variance	Potential to Restore	Notes	Circulator's Name
1	SR	1/2/23	10	10	0			
2	SR	1/2/23	10	9	1		Line 7 out of district	
3	SR	1/2/23	10	10	0			
4	SR	1/2/23	10	7	3		Lines 7, 8, 9 out of district	
5	SR	1/2/23	10	10	0			
6	SR	1/2/23	10	10	0			
7	SR	1/2/23	10	10	0			
8	SR	1/2/23	10	6	4		Lines 2, 3, 4 out of district	
9	SR	1/2/23	10	10	0			
10	SR	1/2/23	10	7	3		Lines 5, 6, 8 out of district	
11	SR	1/2/23	10	10	0			
12	SR	1/2/23	10	10	0			
13	SR	1/2/23	10	9	1		Line 7 out of district	
14	SR	1/2/23	10	7	3		Lines 2, 3, 4 out of district	
15	SR	1/2/23	10	7	3		Lines 1, 3, 8 out of district	
16	SR	1/2/23	10	5	5		Lines 1, 4, 6, 7, 10 out of district	
17	SR	1/2/23	10	6	4		Lines 3, 4, 7, 8 out of district	
18	SR	1/2/23	10	4	6		Lines 2-7 out of district	
19	SR	1/2/23	10	8	2		Lines 7-8 out of district	
20	SR	1/2/23	10	6	4		Lines 1, 6-8 out of district	
21	SR	1/2/23	10	10	0			
22	SR	1/2/23	10	10	0			
23	SR	1/2/23	10	7	3		Lines 4, 7, 8 out of district	
24	SR	1/2/23	10	8	2		Lines 5-6 out of district	
25	SR	1/2/23	10	8	2		Lines 8-9 out of district	
26	SR	1/2/23	10	7	3		Lines 6, 8-9 out of district	
27	SR	1/2/23	10	10	0			
28					0			
29					0			
TOTAL			270	221	49	0		

PAGE 18/21 REC'D 1/18/2024 12:44:14 PM [Central Standard Time] PRD 083276264

s.milwaukee.gov

Official Website of the City of Milwaukee

MyMilwaukeeHome

WELCOME to Where Do I Vote fresh mobile-friendly look!

ENTER the property address.

2612 W

CENTER ST

Unit

Submit Address

My Property:2612 W CENTER ST 53206

Tax Key: 3101935000

Where Do I Vote/Voting Location including Sample Ballot if available

Ward:	143
Polling Place:	Gwen T Jackson School
Address:	2121 W Hadley St
Main Entrance:	Voters should use the main building entrance on W Hadley St
Main Parking:	Street parking is available near the W Hadley St entrance
Accessible	The accessible entrance is the main

PAGE 19/21 REC'D 1/18/2024 12:44:14 PM [Central Standard Time] PRD 083276264

2612-2614 W. Center St.

Building Info

Address:
2612-2614 W. Center St.

Taxkey:
3101935000

Assessed Value (Land):
$0

Assessed Value (Improvements):
$0

Assessed Value (Total):
$0

Assesment Year:
2020

Built:

PAGE 20/21 REC'D 1/18/2024 12:44:14 PM [Central Standard Time] PRD 083276264

ENTER the property address.

2817 W
NORTH AV
Unit
Submit Address

My Property:2817 W NORTH AV 53208

Tax Key: 3492123000

Where Do I Vote/Voting Location including Sample Ballot if available

Ward:	146
Polling Place:	West Side Academy I School
Address:	1945 N 31st St
Main Entrance:	Voters should use the main building entrance on N 31st St
Main Parking:	Street parking is available near the N 31st St entrance
Accessible Entrance:	The accessible entrance is the main building entrance on N 31st St
Accessible Parking:	Designated accessible street parking is available near the N 31st St entrance

PAGE 21/21 REC'D 1/18/2024 12:44:14 PM [Central Standard Time] PRD 08327626 4

VERIFIED RESPONSE

Verified Response to challenger Russell Stamper's flagrantly FALSE complaint

My signature below verifies and affirms under oath, UNDER PENALTY of PERJURY the following facts that are true and correct to the best of my personal knowledge, recollection and personal research;

Candidate Russell Stamper is a liar. Candidate Stamper and his rogue private investigator as well as a known employee of the Milwaukee Election Commission, colluded to knowingly submit false information against me with reckless disregard for the truth in an effort to injury my character, reputation and interfere with a fair and free election. I have my own evidence to support these facts and will be producing such at the board hearing on Monday, Jan. 8, 2024. I refute the allegations made in Stamper's FALSE complaint in its ENTIRETY.

Signed this 8th day of January, 2024 before a notary.

Affiant

RECEIVED
2024 JAN -8 A 11: 21
CITY OF MILWAUKEE
ELECTION COMMISSION

Notary

ABDALLAH SARSOUR
NOTARY PUBLIC
STATE OF WISCONSIN