

forgery), they were informed a local volunteer would contact them to record the details of their story and bring them an affidavit.

In some cases (approximately 1% of the time), the signer who indicated they were tricked into signing declined to have a volunteer contact them to setup a time to sign an affidavit. In a majority of the instances of indicated fraud, the signer agreed to be contacted by a volunteer to receive an affidavit. After two days worth of calls, volunteers were so inundated with affidavit requests that there simply were not enough local notaries to go to every door across each district in the 6 days remaining of the GAB review period. Notaries from across the state volunteered to drive to the three districts to help but still could not get to every door during the review period.

A report of the work performed by Meyer Teleservices is hereto and incorporated herein as Exhibit 1.

Phone Results:

We were unable to match a phone number to every signer due to poor handwriting making names and addresses illegible, as well as phone numbers being unavailable. For the phone numbers called, the results for the people contacted were as follows:

The results of the calls to signers from each district were as follows.

Senate District 12:

We were able to find numbers for and speak to **534** people who had signed the petition who indicated they were misled into signing the petition or asserted they had never signed, which constituted **9.2%** of the people the callers spoke with.

Senate District 22:

We were able to find numbers for and speak to **225** people who had signed the petition who indicated they were misled into signing the petition or asserted they had never signed, which constituted **6.6%** of the people the callers spoke with.

Senate District 30:

We were able to find numbers for and speak to **372** people who had signed the petition who indicated they were misled into signing the petition or asserted they had never signed, which constituted **8.6%** of the people the callers spoke with.

The table below includes aggregate results across all three districts from the phone calls per circulators with for the circulators with the highest rates of reported fraud and deception.

Of the thousands of circulators involved in all three districts, the top 16 are out-of-state circulators.



Circulator	r State	Calls completed to signers	Signers claiming fraud or deception	fraud or deception percent
Sherri E. Ferrell	FL	384	136	35.42
Duane Schumacher	MN	207	63	30.43
Larry W Schumacher	Ok	226	50	22.12
Richard A Madrill III	CO	154	42	27.27
Matthew Bechtol	CO	105	31	29.52
Robert V Armstrong	OK	222	31	13.96
Karen Garr	IL	92	25	27.17
Mark Vigil	CO	96	22	22.92
Jay Taylor	CA	105	21	20
Richard Salway	NY	65	20	30.77
Jacqueline Morales	FL	153	18	11.76
Jean Stussie	MO	74	17	22.97
Jonathan Megie	FL	56	16	28.57
Willie Edwards	OK	24	15	62.5
Richard Riscol	CO	52	14	26.92
Christopher J. Baxter	FL	34	11	32.35
John R Berken	WI	88	10	11.36
David Smail	WI	90	9	10
Michael Alexander	MA	61	8	13.11
Debra Razo	TX	60	8	13.33
Charles Krause	WI	71	8	11.27
Mary Kison	WI	46	8	17.39
Glenda Bittner	KS	19	7	36.84
Laurie Hottenstein	WI	171	7	4.09
Gordon J. Gille	WI	20	7	35
Jeff Lauer	WI	158	6	3.8
Nicole B Smith	WI	11	6	54.55
George A. Roman	FL	16	5	31.25
Vernon Van	NY	8	5	62.5

Due to the high percentage of fraud rates for these out-of-state circulators, it is clear that the fraud was not isolated to one or two out-of-state “bad actors.” The fraud instead was fairly widely distributed throughout all of the top out-of-state circulators.

Signatures collected by out-of-state circulators constitute a substantial portion of all petitions collected for each recall committees. When looking more closely at the data for all signatures collected, we find that out-of-state circulators make up a large portion of signatures collected in each district:



608.561.1PFD

Political Software in Action

Sales@PF-Data.com

PO Box 237 McFarland, WI 53558

Data

www.PF-Data.com

SD 12:
 Out-of-state circulators account for 8474 signatures, which is 36.64%


SD 22:
 Out-of-state circulators account for 6073 signatures, which is 33.12%


SD 30:
 Out-of-state circulators account for 8565 signatures, which is 44.65%



Michael L. Pfohl

Subscribed and sworn to before me this
5 day of May, 2011.


 Notary Public, State of Wisconsin
 My Commission does not expire





May 5, 2011

Meyer Teleservices is a Telemarketing firm with 35 years of experience specializing in fundraising and voter contact services. The calls made by our firm to residents of Wisconsin on behalf of the Wisconsin Democratic Party were made in good faith with integrity and accuracy; and according to our normal business procedures as detailed below.

The numbers provided to us by the Wisconsin Democratic Party of proposed signers of recall petitions for State Senators Dave Hansen, Jim Holperin, and Robert Wirth were loaded directly into our auto-dialing equipment. Using the auto dialing system we do not require our call agents to manually dial the telephone numbers provided to us, ensuring accuracy by avoiding the potential of misdialing.

Meyer's call agents work from individual work stations equipped with a PC and telephone headset that relays the information included (loaded to our dialing system) for each record (name, address, phone, etc.) as well as the actual telephone call itself. Once a telephone number from a record is dialed and a connection is made the call agent is connected via phone to the resident that was dialed while that resident's information noted above is displayed on screen.

Meyer's call agents are directed to speak only with the individual within a household that is detailed on screen. Along with the resident's pertinent information, a call script is also displayed on the PC screen for the call agents to follow. The scripts are standard across all calls and read by all call agents verbatim to ensure consistency through out the calls. The scripting begins with an opening introduction to announce who we are and for whom we are calling on behalf of. Reading from the script we then explain the purpose of the call and move into the pertinent question of the call. After the question is asked, call agents wait for the answer provided by the resident and mark their response using the PC. The various expected question responses are listed on screen and coded by a number. The call agent simply enters the number of the response given to them (so nothing is open to interpretation) and then reads the appropriate call closing information based on the residents response to the question.

Ex: Did you intend to sign this petition to remove Democratic Senator Dave Hanson from office? Or were you tricked and would like to have your name removed?

- 1) Intended to Sign
- 2) Didn't intend to sign/Remove name
- 3) Didn't intend to sign/Keep name
- 4) Never Signed
- 5) Refused to answer

Our firm prides itself with the accuracy of our data collected and representing our clients with integrity. Meyer has dedicated systems in place to accomplish this:

- Prior to making their first calls for our company, all call agents sign an integrity contract and confidentiality agreement, which outlines our expectations and their responsibilities when making calls on behalf of our clients.
- Meyer provides dedicated call agent training prior to calling a new program.
- Meyer employ a team of phone center supervisors to ensure all call agents are making calls with professionalism and integrity, and for the sole purpose by which our firm was commissioned.
- Meyer employs a team of quality assurance representatives that work along side our supervisors to monitor calls as they are being made to ensure agents are following the outlined call expectations and are collecting data/responses accurately.
- All information/data collected by our call agents during calls is entered using a PC, with all relevant responses/answer choices provided on screen to eliminate "guess work" or misinterpretation.


To the best of my knowledge, all of the procedures detailed herein were followed during calls made for the Hansen, Holperin, and Wirch recalls.




Nick Gerten, CEO
Meyer Teleservices



Notary





May 5, 2011

Meyer Teleservices is a Telemarketing firm with 35 years of experience specializing in fundraising and voter contact services. The calls made by our firm to residents of Wisconsin on behalf of the Wisconsin Democratic Party were made in good faith with integrity and accuracy; and according to our normal business procedures as detailed below.

The numbers provided to us by the Wisconsin Democratic Party of proposed signers of recall petitions for State Senators Dave Hansen, Jim Holperin, and Robert Wirch were loaded directly into our auto-dialing equipment. Using the auto dialing system we do not require our call agents to manually dial the telephone numbers provided to us, ensuring accuracy by avoiding the potential of misdialing.

Meyer's call agents work from individual work stations equipped with a PC and telephone headset that relays the information included (loaded to our dialing system) for each record (name, address, phone, etc.) as well as the actual telephone call itself. Once a telephone number from a record is dialed and a connection is made the call agent is connected via phone to the resident that was dialed while that resident's information noted above is displayed on screen.

Meyer's call agents are directed to speak only with the individual within a household that is detailed on screen. Along with the resident's pertinent information, a call script is also displayed on the PC screen for the call agents to follow. The scripts are standard across all calls and read by all call agents verbatim to ensure consistency through out the calls. The scripting begins with an opening introduction to announce who we are and for whom we are calling on behalf of. Reading from the script we then explain the purpose of the call and move into the pertinent question of the call. After the question is asked, call agents wait for the answer provided by the resident and mark their response using the PC. The various expected question responses are listed on screen and coded by a number. The call agent simply enters the number of the response given to them (so nothing is open to interpretation) and then reads the appropriate call closing information based on the residents response to the question.


Ex: Did you intend to sign this petition to remove Democratic Senator Dave Hanson from office? Or were you tricked and would like to have your name removed?

- 1) Intended to Sign
- 2) Didn't intend to sign/Remove name
- 3) Didn't intend to sign/Keep name
- 4) Never Signed
- 5) Refused to answer

Our firm prides itself with the accuracy of our data collected and representing our clients with integrity. Meyer has dedicated systems in place to accomplish this:

- Prior to making their first calls for our company, all call agents sign an integrity contract and confidentiality agreement, which outlines our expectations and their responsibilities when making calls on behalf of our clients.
- Meyer provides dedicated call agent training prior to calling a new program.
- Meyer employ a team of phone center supervisors to ensure all call agents are making calls with professionalism and integrity, and for the sole purpose by which our firm was commissioned.
- Meyer employs a team of quality assurance representatives that work along side our supervisors to monitor calls as they are being made to ensure agents are following the outlined call expectations and are collecting data/responses accurately.
- All information/data collected by our call agents during calls is entered using a PC, with all relevant responses/answer choices provided on screen to eliminate "guess work" or misinterpretation.

To the best of my knowledge, all of the procedures detailed herein were followed during calls made for the Hansen, Holperin, and Wirch recalls.



Nick Gerten, CEO
Meyer Teleservices



Notary

