

HAND DELIVERED

RECEIVED

2014 JUN 5 PM 12:33

GOVERNMENT  
ACCOUNTABILITY BOARD

## GENERAL AFFIDAVIT

As a resident in the county Dane within the state of Wisconsin  
Jeremy Ryan personally approached me, the undersigned Notary, and  
made his/her sworn testimony in a general affidavit, that the following statement is  
completely factual and true to the best of his/her belief and knowledge.

### Statement:

I am writing this statement and affidavit as the sole circulator of my nomination papers. I will respond to each one of the challenges individually that I am contesting. I am currently recovering from a short term health issue which should be significantly better by the Tuesday board meeting but should it not please let this serve as my response.

### Section A:

In short this entire section alleges that I collected signatures under false pretenses. It cites as evidence a heavily politically slanted online publication who's source was a Facebook post from a staffer for the opposing Kaleka campaign. What some person on Facebook says is not credible evidence of anything. Even if you set aside that he's a staffer for an opposing campaign. But what the article alleges is that I was telling people they were signing petitions to legalize marijuana. This claim is false. I held or most times had a volunteer hold a sign that said "Legalize Marijuana Sign Here". I thought this would be a popular issue and it is on my platform and my web site. When they would approach me I would say "I'm Jeremy Ryan these are my nomination papers to get me on the ballot to run against Paul Ryan for Congress. In my first term if elected I would author a bill to legalize marijuana". Some people refused to sign after I said it, some people it may have gone in one ear and out the other but many even made comments about how much they disliked Paul Ryan. I did my due diligence in informing people so this particular challenge is false and the

signatures should not be considered invalid.

Section B:

15. The residential information of the circulator on page 48 is legible. I ask that the GAB reject this challenge and consider all signatures on that page valid.

17. The residential information of the circulator on page 49 is legible. I ask that the GAB reject this challenge and consider all signatures on that page valid.

19. The residential information of the circulator on page 59 is legible. I ask that the GAB reject this challenge and consider all signatures on that page valid.

21. The residential information on page 134 is incomplete. Given as I was the circulator the address should read 156 Rodney Ct #2 Madison WI.

30. On page 103 line 8 the elector printed in the signature box and signed in the printed box. Both signature and print are still present however so this signature should be deemed valid.

32. On Page 2 Lines 4 and 5 I find the date to be legible. But even if one were to argue otherwise it becomes even more apparent when looking at the dates on lines 3 and 6. I find it still clear what the date is and so the signatures should be valid.

33. On page 18 lines 9 and 10 are missing a year however I can personally attest as the circulator that On I circulated these in 2014 and the other signatures on the page state 2014 as well. These signatures should be valid.

34. On page 22 lines 2-4 I can personally attest as the circulator to collecting them in 2014 and the dates on lines 1 and 5 clearly show 2014 as well. These signatures should be valid.

35. On page 31 lines 4-6 I can personally attest as the circulator to collecting them in 2014 and the dates on lines 3 and 6 clearly show 2014 as well. These signatures should be valid.

36. On page 47 line 5 I find the date to be complete enough so as to reasonably tell when it was signed and should be valid.

37. On page 48 lines 6-8 I can personally attest as the circulator to collecting them on 05/24/2014 and the dates on lines 5 and 9 clearly show the same date as well. These signatures should be valid.

38. On Page 56 Line 1 the date is clear I reject this challenge and the signature should be valid.

39. On page 58 line 7 says 04 but it is clear that it was actually circulated in 2014 especially given the signatures above and below. Line 8 the date is clear and complete both of these should be valid.

40. On page 64 line 9 the date is clear and complete. This signature should be valid.

41. On page 89 lines 6-7 I think the date is clear enough to distinguish. This signature should be valid.

42. On page 95 lines 5-7 and 9 I can personally attest as the circulator to collecting them in 2014 and the dates on lines 4,8 and 10 clearly show 2014 as well. These signatures should be valid.

43. On page 110 line 3 I can personally attest as the circulator to collecting them on 05/30/2014 and the dates on lines 2 and 4 clearly show 05/30/2014 as well. These signatures should be valid.

44. On page 128 lines 1, 2, and 5-7 I can personally attest as the circulator to collecting them on 05/31/2014. I think this is clear on lines 1 and 2 as well as 4 and 8. These signatures should be considered valid.

52. On page 27 line 6 the letters keno are clearly visible which stands for Kenosha as the other addresses on the sheet say and I can personally attest as the circulator that it was a Kenosha resident, This signature should be considered valid.

53. On page 30 line 4 the letters keno are clearly visible which stands for Kenosha as the other addresses on the sheet say and I can personally attest as the circulator that it was a Kenosha resident. This signature should be considered valid.

54. On page 36 line 9 the address is clear as are the first three letters of Kenosha. In

addition a Kenosha zip code is listed. This signature should be considered valid.

56. On page 63 line 8 the letters keno are clearly visible which stands for Kenosha as the other addresses on the sheet say and I can personally attest as the circulator that it was a Kenosha resident.

59. On page 72 line 3 all information is there so this should be valid.

60. On page 74 Line 8 all information is there so this should be valid.

61. On page 8 line 9 all information is there so this should be valid.

62. On page 8 line 10 all information is there so this should be valid.

63. On page 10 line 6 all information is present and legible so should be valid.

64. On page 21 line 10 all information is present and legible so should be valid.

65. On page 30 line 9 all information is present and legible so should be valid.

66. On page 33 line 7 all information is present and legible so should be valid.

67. This elector was homeless and claimed to live on that street. Tbis signature

should be valid.

68. This elector was homeless and claimed to live on that street. This signature should be valid.

69. On page 62 line 7 all information is present and legible so should be valid.

71. On page 67 lines 5 and 9 all information is present and legible so should be valid.

73. On page 79 line 1 all information is present and legible so should be valid.

74. On page 83 line 5 all information is present and legible so should be valid.

75. On page 108 line 9 all information is present and legible so should be valid.

76. On page 114 line 5 all information is present and legible so should be valid.

87. The printed name on page 14 line 5 is legible and therefore the signature should be valid.

89. The printed name on page 55 lines 2 and 4 is legible and therefore the signature should be valid.

90. The printed name on page 58 line 1 is legible and therefore the signature should be valid.

91. The printed name on page 60 line 4 is legible and therefore the signature should be valid.

92. The printed name on page 71 line 3 is legible and therefore the signature should be valid.

95. The printed name on page 104 lines 5 and 10 is legible and therefore the signature should be valid.

96. The printed name on page 103 line 7 is legible and therefore the signature should be valid.

97. The printed name on page 114 line 7 is legible and therefore the signature should be valid.

98. The printed name on page 120 line 2 is legible and therefore the signature should be valid.


99. The printed name on page 126 lines 5 and 10 is legible and therefore the signature should be valid.

That is my affidavit and response to the challenge and verified complaint. I believe that after review of this information you will find that I have enough valid signatures to make the ballot. A copy of this affidavit has been hand delivered to the Republican Party of Wisconsin at the address listed in their complaint.

Affiant Signature:   
Date Signed: the 6th of June 20 14

Sworn and subscribed to before me on this day, 6th of June 20 14

COUNTY OF DANE  
STATE OF WISCONSIN  
MY COMMISSION IS PERMANENT.

  
Notary Public